

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/810,836	Applicant(s) BROUN, PIERRE	
	Examiner David A. Lambertson	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) David A. Lambertson, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) Jeffrey Libby, Ph.D.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2004
Time: 1:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC § 112, second and fourth paragraphs

Claims discussed:

18-25, 27-32, 35 and 36.

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding the potential rejection of claims 18 and 36 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, and the potential objection of claim 35 under 35 USC § 112, fourth paragraph. While not acquiescing to the correctness of the Office's position regarding these claims, Applicant's representative agreed to the cancellation of claims 18, 35 and 36 for the sole purpose of furthering prosecution of the case to allowance. Applicant's representative also gave permission to cancel claims 19-25 and 27-32, which were withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention.